“End-demand” laws prevent sex workers’ access to police assistance in safety emergencies

In 2014, the Canadian government introduced new laws criminalizing the sex industry under a so-called “end demand” framework. This legislation places emphasis on criminalizing clients and third parties but retains provisions criminalizing sex workers (SW) outdoors in narrow circumstances. The laws were justified as necessary for the “protection of exploited persons”, in particular Indigenous women, and to “encourage those in prostitution to report violence (Bill C-36 2014). Although a number of other countries have also introduced “end demand” criminalization frameworks, there is very little evidence about sex workers’ access to police protection in safety emergencies or means of escaping violence or confinement in countries or localities operating under an “end demand” criminalization framework.

FINDINGS

- 31% of sex workers reported being unable to call 911 if they or another SW were in a safety emergency due to fear of police detection (of themselves, their colleagues or their management).

- Indigenous sex workers were more than twice as likely to report being unable to call 911 in a safety emergency. Being younger or working in Ottawa were also associated with lesser reported ability to call 911 in a safety emergency.

- Sex workers who experienced police harassment in the past 12 months (being carded or asked for ID documents, followed by police or detained without arrest) were more than 5 times more likely to report being unable to call 911 in a safety emergency.

- Of sex workers who had experienced violence or confinement at work in the past 12 months, only 16.5% reported the incident to police. Of these, less than a third had a positive experience.

- Amongst the sex workers who escaped a situation of violence or confinement at work or in their personal life with assistance in the past 12 months, the most commonly reported source of help was other sex workers (40.5%), in particular, other sex workers with whom they shared expenses (35.1%). This was followed by “friends/family/lovers/partners” (29.7%), clients (24.3%), security/spotters (13.5%), a person in drug venue (10.8%) and a boss/manager (10.8%). Only two sex workers (5.4%) reported being assisted by police to escape violence or confinement in the past 12 months.
UGRNT POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

- **Transformational systemic change of the criminal justice system using a decolonizing approach** by centering Indigenous sex workers’ experiences, expertise, and perspectives on what law, policy, and policing changes are necessary.

- **Law reform to decriminalize sex work** by centering sex workers’ experiences, expertise, and perspective on a labour and human rights-based approach.

- **End the targeting of sex workers by police** (e.g. police following, carding/asking for ID documents, and detaining sex workers without arrest) either as a by-product of enforcing “end demand” legislation against sex work spaces and/or clients, racial or social profiling or, “protective” or repressive policing strategies.

- **Implement “Good Samaritan” laws and policies for sex work**, (until a rights-based legal framework for sex work is in place), that shield individuals involved in the sex industry (e.g. other sex workers, clients, third parties) and individuals involved in the drug trade (e.g. users, dealers) from raids or arrest on sex work or drug-related charges as a result of calling police to assist a sex worker in a safety emergency.

- **End police policy and practice of carding** (i.e. police stopping, asking for ID, or questioning individuals without specific grounds) and street stops linked to racial and social profiling.
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